

Dependability Assurance Challenges Posed by 21st Century Trends

John F. Meyer
jfm@umich.edu

84th Meeting of IFIP WG 10.4
Arcos de Valdevez, Portugal
June 23-26, 2023



IFIP WG 10.4

Computer Science and
Engineering
The University of Michigan



Dependability Assurance



IFIP WG 10.4

**Computer Science and
Engineering**
The University of Michigan



Dependability Assurance

- Any methodical process of determining whether a system meets specified dependability requirements
 - for a given application and operating environment
 - under assumed fault assumptions



Dependability Assurance

- Any methodical process of determining whether a system meets specified dependability requirements
 - for a given application and operating environment
 - under assumed fault assumptions
- Can take place at various phases and levels of system development



Dependability Assurance

- Any methodical process of determining whether a system meets specified dependability requirements
 - for a given application and operating environment
 - under assumed fault assumptions
- Can take place at various phases and levels of system development
- Dependability requirements can be expressed
 - qualitatively or quantitatively
 - in terms of various measures depending on phase and level



Dependability Assurance

- Any methodical process of determining whether a system meets specified dependability requirements
 - for a given application and operating environment
 - under assumed fault assumptions
- Can take place at various phases and levels of system development
- Dependability requirements can be expressed
 - qualitatively or quantitatively
 - in terms of various measures depending on phase and level
- Can employ a variety of techniques
 - model-based (formal methods, analysis, simulation)
 - testing (I/O, fault injection, field testing, etc.)
 - reasoning (e.g., safety cases)



21st Century Trends



IFIP WG 10.4

**Computer Science and
Engineering**
The University of Michigan



21st Century Trends

- There are a number of trends that pose serious challenges wrt certain aspects of dependability assurance



21st Century Trends

- There are a number of trends that pose serious challenges wrt certain aspects of dependability assurance
- Would like to focus on two trends which relate closely to
 - longtime interests in model-based evaluation of system dependability/performance
 - recent involvement in WG 10.4's IVDS Project



21st Century Trends

- There are a number of trends that pose serious challenges wrt certain aspects of dependability assurance
 - Would like to focus on two trends which relate closely to
 - longtime interests in model-based evaluation of system dependability/performance
 - recent involvement in WG 10.4's IVDS Project
- 1) **System applications with increasingly large and complex operating environments**



21st Century Trends

- There are a number of trends that pose serious challenges wrt certain aspects of dependability assurance
 - Would like to focus on two trends which relate closely to
 - longtime interests in model-based evaluation of system dependability/performance
 - recent involvement in WG 10.4's IVDS Project
- 1) System applications with increasingly large and complex operating environments
 - 2) Employment of AI (e.g., ML, DL) algorithms for which the key dependability concepts of *correct service* and *failure* (deviation from correct) are elusive if not nonexistent



Assurance Challenges Posed by Large, Complex Environments



IFIP WG 10.4

**Computer Science and
Engineering**
The University of Michigan



Assurance Challenges Posed by Large, Complex Environments

- Consider the operating environment of an intelligent autonomous vehicle
 - road type, time of day, other vehicles, pedestrians, road signs, traffic volumes, weather and visibility conditions, etc.



Assurance Challenges Posed by Large, Complex Environments

- Consider the operating environment of an intelligent autonomous vehicle
 - road type, time of day, other vehicles, pedestrians, road signs, traffic volumes, weather and visibility conditions, etc.
- Test driving in actual environments not sufficient
 - assuming an average vehicle speed of 40 mph, to satisfy a safety requirement of $\leq 5 \times 10^{-8}$ fatalities/hr with 90% confidence requires ~1.842 billion miles of fatality-free driving [Kleyner 2014; Karla, Paddock 2016]



Assurance Challenges Posed by Large, Complex Environments

- Consider the operating environment of an intelligent autonomous vehicle
 - road type, time of day, other vehicles, pedestrians, road signs, traffic volumes, weather and visibility conditions, etc.
- Test driving in actual environments not sufficient
 - assuming an average vehicle speed of 40 mph, to satisfy a safety requirement of $\leq 5 \times 10^{-8}$ fatalities/hr with 90% confidence requires ~1.842 billion miles of fatality-free driving [Kleyner 2014; Karla, Paddock 2016]
- **Challenges**
 - Construct comprehensive environment models (EMs) that combine analytical, simulation and AI models to conservatively represent reality
 - Devise means of determining an EM's approximation to the real world
 - Incorporate such EMs in integrated methodologies for assuring that specified dependability requirements are satisfied for the target system



Assurance Challenges Posed by AI



IFIP WG 10.4

**Computer Science and
Engineering**
The University of Michigan



Assurance Challenges Posed by AI

- Dependability issues associated with AI, per se, and AI-enabled systems have been a concern of our community for the past decade, as evidenced by
 - several DSN and 10.4 workshops
 - a recent lengthy 10.4 email thread, chronicled by Brian last March



Assurance Challenges Posed by AI

- Dependability issues associated with AI, per se, and AI-enabled systems have been a concern of our community for the past decade, as evidenced by
 - several DSN and 10.4 workshops
 - a recent lengthy 10.4 email thread, chronicled by Brian last March
- **Conundrum:** Without a specification of correct service, how to decide whether an AI system has failed



Assurance Challenges Posed by AI

- Dependability issues associated with AI, per se, and AI-enabled systems have been a concern of our community for the past decade, as evidenced by
 - several DSN and 10.4 workshops
 - a recent lengthy 10.4 email thread, chronicled by Brian last March
- **Conundrum:** Without a specification of correct service, how to decide whether an AI system has failed
- **Challenges**
 - For AI-enabled systems with usual application-oriented service requirements, determine means of inferring possible AI contributions to system failures
 - For AI systems in isolation, investigate use of evaluation measures that do not require a notion of failure and yet can quantify the extent of errant AI behavior (performability, uncertainty,?)

