



# Session 1: Dependability and Security Aspects for Blockchain Consensus

*Andrea Bondavalli*

*Introduction to Blockchain Security and Dependability Challenges: a viewpoint.*

*(Jiangshan Yu, University of Luxembourg, LU)*

*Blockchain Consensus Protocols: an Outlook*

*(Marko Vukolić, IBM Research Zurich, Switzerland)*



# ***Introduction to Blockchain Security and Dependability Challenges: a viewpoint.***

- ▶ Jiangshan gave an overview of the basic concepts of blockchains: Goals, Architecture and threats: **DOUBLE SPENDING**
- ▶ Challenges: **Security, Privacy, Consensus, and Scalability**
- ▶ **CHALLENGE 1**
- ▶ Gap between BFT and blockchains → PoW
- ▶ 51% attack
- ▶ BitCoin.... BitCoin-NG..... ByzCoin
- ▶ RepuCoin and its resiliency....



# Other Challenges

- ▶ **CHALLENGE 2** explosion of proposals - a lot of confusion and doubtful implementations
  - ▶ Proof of Stake,
    - Thunderella
  - ▶ PeerCensus,
    - Solida
  - ▶ Proof of Capacity,
    - ByzCoin
  - ▶ Proof of Activity,
    - HoneyBadger
  - ▶ .....
- ▶ **Challenge 3** Privacy: Reconcile Privacy and Transparency (not elaborated much)



# Blockchain Consensus Protocols: an Outlook

- ▶ Marko started with some definitions and immediately attacked CONSENSUS to let the chain grow
- ▶ **Proof-of-Work (PoW)**
  - Forks (double spending)
  - PERFORMANCE (scalability, throughput, ENERGY CONSUMPTION!) 987 kWh per transaction!
- ▶ **Proof-of-Stake (PoS) cheaper**
  - PoS usually sits on top of PoW tree data structure
  - Forks



- ▶ BFT in Blockchains
  - All PoS protocols resort in one way or another to BFT
- ▶ PoW vs. BFT for Blockchains..
- ▶ Outstanding challenges in BFT for blockchains
  - Maximizing throughput on WANs (bottlenecks)
  - Scaling to 100+ nodes, without sacrificing performance
  - Robust but understandable protocols
  - Scalable incentives
  - Simplicity, provability and testing



# General discussion

- ▶ The two speakers subject to frequent attacks from malicious attendees stealing their time - which they have only partially managed!!
- ▶ However out of the discussion:
- ▶ Assumptions and fault models not clear! (E.G. Time)
- ▶ Cost per transactions very very high - leaves many concerns
- ▶ Business model? And applications?