# Recovery from Intrusions in PaaS Clouds



(joint work with Dário Nascimento)

IFIP WG Meeting Jan. 2015



# Number of critical applications in the Cloud is increasing



# Number of Intrusions in these applications is increasing





#### Intrusions compromise:

- Integrity
- Availability
- Confidentiality

# Intrusion/fault causes:

- Software flaws
- Configuration and usage mistakes
- Corrupted legitimate requests (e.g. SQL injection)



#### Motivation

- Personal motivation:
- I've been working on masking faults and intrusions for 15 years
- Industry seems not to care
- Industry does care about recovering from intrusions/faults when they happen



Goal

# Recover the application's integrity when intrusions happen



# Backups

works but removes both bad and good actions





### **Related Work**

#### Intrusion recovery: remove bad, not good actions

- Operating systems: Taser, Retro
- Databases: ITDB, Phoenix
- Web applications: Goel et. al, Warp, Aire
- Others: Undo for Operators

# Limitations:

- All require setup and configuration
- Max. complexity: 1 app server,1 database instance
- Cause application downtime during recovery



#### Objective

# Platform as a Service (PaaS)

- Cloud service = to run applications
- Consumer develops application to run in that environment, using
  - Supported languages, e.g., Java, Python, Go, PHP
  - Supported components, e.g., SQL/noSQL databases, load balancers



### Objective

# Intrusion recovery system for PaaS

- Supported by the PaaS: available without setup
- Remove the intrusion effects
- Support applications deployed in various instances
- Avoid application downtime
- Cost effective
- Recover fast



#### Architecture

#### Shuttle

User requests





# **Replay Process**

- 1. Identify the malicious actions
- 2. Start new application and database instances
- 3. Load a snapshot previous to intrusion instant Create a new branch
- 4. Replay requests Database operations shall replay in same order as original
- 5. Block incoming requests; replay last requests
- 6. Change branch



	Full-Replay	Selective-Replay
1 Cluster (Serial)	✓	$\checkmark$
Clustered	$\checkmark$	X

Full-Replay: Replay every operation after snapshot
Selective-Replay: Replay only affected (tainted) operations

Serial: Replay all dependency graph sequentially Clustered: Independent clusters can be replayed concurrently







# Environment

- Amazon EC2, c3.xlarge instances, Gb Ethernet
- WildFly (formely JBoss) application servers
- Voldemort database
- Ask Q&A application; data from Stack Exchange



#### Evaluation

Accuracy with intrusion scenarios:

- 1. Malicious requests
- 2. Software vulnerabilities
- 3. External channels (e.g. SSH)

	#tampered intrusion	#tainted	#replayed (selective rep.)	#replayed (full replay)
<b>1</b> a	110	0	[0, 605]	> 38 620
1b	58	14	[0, 379]	> 38 620
<b>1</b> c	48	52	[0, 253]	> 38 620
<b>2</b> a	4 338	0	-	> 38 620
2b	18 286	1 278	-	> 38 620
3	> 2 000	_	-	> 38 620



# **Performance overhead**

in normal execution

	Workload A	Workload B
Shuttle	6325 ops/sec [5.78 ms]	15346 ops/sec [3.62 ms]
No Shuttle	7148 ops/sec [5.07 ms]	17821 ops/sec [3.01 ms]
overhead	13% [14%]	16% [20%]



# **Recovery time**

1 million requests



## **Restrain duration**





## Storage overhead

#### for 1 million requests

	# objects	size (MB)
Shuttle Storage:		
Request	1 million	212
Response	1 million	8 967
Start/end timestamps	2 million	16
Keys	137 million	488
Total		9 684
Database node:		
Version List	14 593	1.4
Operation list	9 million	277
Total		282
Manager:		
Graph	1 million	718



#### Conclusion

- New intrusion recovery service to be integrated in PaaS offerings
- Supports applications running in various instances backed by distributed databases
- Leverages the resource elasticity and pay-per-use model to reduce the recovery time and costs