Systematic Composition of Real-Time Dependable Distributed Computing Systems

Kane Kim

UCI DREAM Lab khkim@uci.edu http://dream.eng.uci.edu/

For presentation at IFIP WG10.4 Meeting Alyeska, Alaska June 2008



9-08

A. Real-Time (RT) Distributed Computing (DC) Software Components

- Components must be hard-RT components
 - This means there must be easily determinable hard bounds on the service times of the components.
 - Compositionality in the temporal dimension is then strong.
- Components must be powerful
 - in that all conceivable RT DC applications must be implementable as networks of components of the type and nothing else.



9-08

9-08

3

A. Real-Time (RT) Distributed Computing (DC) Software Components

- Components must be highly abstract (with no ambiguity embedded)
 - in that the mental effort required for designing and programming a component should be far less than that required for programming a construct that has equivalent computational effect and consists of threads, thread-priorities, and sockets.
- Components must incorporate a global time base
 - to enable TCoDA (Global-Time-based Coordination of Distributed computing Actions).
- Components must expose parallelism to a maximally practical extent.



DRFAMIa

A. Real-Time (RT) Distributed Computing (DC) Software Components

 Components must yield cost-effective methods for deriving tight service time bounds (STBs) of the components.



B. Dependable Configurations of RT DC Components & Systems

- Fault-tolerant (FT) configurations of components must yield tight bounds for recovery times
 - Recovery time here is defined as the service time increase due to failure occurrences
 - One could conceivably seek acceptable recovery time distributions rather than tight bounds but
 - Will it be really easy to produce credible, acceptable recovery time distributions when there is lack of deep insights into how to realize attractive tight bounds for recovery times ??



Dreamer's View on
Desirable Characteristics of
a Composition Technology SoughtB. Dependable Configurations
of
RT DC Components & Systs

- The less special hardware parts in the FT configurations the better off.
- Component replication schemes, cooperative fault detection schemes, and system reconfiguration schemes must be seamlessly integrated in cost-effective and analyzable forms.
- Access control and encryption mechanisms must be incorporated in harmonious forms into RT DC components.



9-08 6

C. Software Engineering Environments (SEEs) for Component-oriented RT DC Systems & FT Configurations

 Given specifications on the fault types and rates to be concerned with,

appropriate selection and tuning of fault tolerance mechanisms and configurations must be supported extensively by well-formed additions to SEEs for component-oriented RT DC systems.



9-08

C. SEEs for Componentoriented RT DC Systems & FT Configurations

 Application-dependent parts of FT RT DC components and systems must be engineered under the support of wellformed SE tools.



Earlier Expectations & Current Status

A. RT DC Software Components

• Expectations in 20th Century

Some reasonable component models will mature by 2000 – 2005.

• Status in 2008

Nothing is mature & No major industry is pushing in recognizable forms.

Is the defense industry the only industry-segment that cares for it ?



9-08 9

Earlier Expectations & Current Status

A. RT DC Software Components

- TTP Programming Model
 - About the only effort being examined seriously by a major industry, i.e., automobile industry.
 - Based on combinations of statically scheduled tasks interacting via statically scheduled messages.
 - Compositionality is fine but the component model is viewed as a low-level model w.r.t. its use in a millisecond-level or coarser-grain timing-sensitive DC applications.



Earlier Expectations & Current Status

A. RT DC Software Components

Other serious efforts need at least 5 – 10 years to reach ٠ maturity.

Start1996LedOMG SIGRTSun consbyOMG SIGRTSun consProgrVersion 1:Caut cons	1998 Micro + A sortium + Academic ups tious slow move –	1992 Academic Groups + a small consortium Strong demos started
LedOMG SIGRTSunfactorsbyConstructionConstructionProgrVersion 1:CauteDependent as a failedNote	Micro + A sortium + Academic ups tious slow move –	Academic Groups + a small consortium Strong demos started
Progr Version 1: Caut	tious slow move –	Strong demos started
Version 1.2: Basic Dem research phase ap	ot addressing DC ases yet. nos of single-node oplications only.	appearing in 2004 (Remote Joystick, Digital Music Ensemble, MPVC, CAMIN) Taught to undergrads in UCI and a small number of other univs.

9-08

Earlier Expectations & Current Status

- **B.** Dependable Configurations of **RT DC Components & Systems**
- Status in 2008

Partly due to the slowness of the development of RT DC components, this technology area is getting delayed by 5 – 10 years from the original expectation.

- C. SEEs for Component-oriented RT DC Systems & FT Configurations Status in 2008
- Status in 2008 : Same as in B



9-08 12

Future Prospects

Progresses are expected to be accelerated because

- Heightened international competition in realizing ubiquitous computing societies (which inevitably involve increasing numbers of safety-critical computing applications) and
- Computer systems and software technology researcher population in BRICs is still growing.

