Certifiable Wireless Data Buses Autonomous Navigation

Vic Thomas February 2006

Certifiable Wireless Data Buses

Honeywell

Objective: Replace wired avionics data buses with wireless data buses

- Can we replace a wired bus such as ARINC 629 with a wireless equivalent?

• Rationale:

- Reduced weight
 - Translates to lower fuel costs
- Ease of re-configurability of aircraft
- Lower installation and maintenance costs

Honeywell

• Wireless data buses are being used for

- Cabin entertainment systems

 Reduces cost associated with changing seat pitch, seasonal changes in configuration (number of 1st class seats)

- Lavatory smoke detectors

- Today airplanes have superfluous wiring to accommodate different configurations used by different airlines
- Cargo hold smoke detectors
- Emergency lighting system

All wireless data buses used today are for non-critical applications

Wireless Data Buses for Critical Applications

- The wireless data bus must be:
 - Reliable
 - Available
 - Protects data integrity
 - Deterministic
 - Bounded delivery times, low jitter
 - Secure
 - Low susceptibility to denial-of-service attacks (jamming)
 - Authenticated messages
 - Encryption?
 - Non-interference
 - Must not interfere with existing radios and avionics
 - Certifiable
 - If a data bus does not have the above properties it will be extremely difficult to certify any application that uses it

Challenges

- Certification is the biggest challenge
- Requirements are not well understood
 - E.g.: "How much" jamming resilience is required?
 - How is this specified?
 - How "jamming resistant" are today's avionics when personal radios are not allowed on board
- Lack of a good understanding of the faults suffered by wireless networks
- Current certification processes are inadequate
 - Limited to understanding the effects of on-board wireless systems on existing radios and avionics
- Where in the RF spectrum should these networks operate?
 - The only globally available frequency band is the 2.4 GHz ISM band
- Requires a change in the mind-set of the certification authorities
 - Knee-jerk reaction is to reject anything wireless as being inherently un-certifiable

Designing a Wireless Data Bus

- Given any dependability and security requirements it is possible to design a wireless data bus that meets those requirements
- Will such a bus deliver adequate bandwidth?
 - Techniques used to improve dependability and security typically result in reduced bandwidth

Commonly Used Techniques for Dependability and Security Honeywell

- A combination of techniques will be needed to meet dependability, determinism and security requirements
- Different techniques provide tolerance for different kinds of faults and are implemented at different layers of the protocol stack

Techniques for Jamming Resistance

- Spread spectrum techniques
 - Spread energy over larger part of the spectrum
 - Frequency hopping and Direct Sequence Pseudo Noise are commonly used
 - Time Hop and Transform Domain spread spectrum techniques less common
- Typically use combination of techniques
 - Frequency hopping + direct sequence
 - Permits use of wide-spaced bands (hop among bands and spread energy within band)
- For additional protection, send same bit(s) over multiple frequency hops
 - Keeps a narrow-band jammer from taking out a part of the communication
- For Frequency Hopping, hopping sequence must not be guessable
 - Cryptographic techniques
 - Can't guess seed of random number generator by observing generated numbers
 - Re-seed all random number generators during scheduled maintenance

Techniques for Reliability, Determinism and Security

- Physical/Link layer
 - Bits transmitted over multiple frequency hops
 - Determinism
- Network layer: At least N independent pre-computed routes between any two nodes
 - Tolerates failures on nodes
- Application layer: Control applications that can tolerate delayed or lost messages
- Security
 - Needed for authentication and possibly encryption
 - Aircraft wide-key, changed during scheduled maintenance

- A consortium of aerospace companies including Honeywell is working with the FAA on certification issues
- The RTCA SC-202 committee is studying the effects of on-board radios on avionics an other air to ground radio systems
 - Radios at different frequencies and radios that turn on and off
- NASA and the European Space Agency have been characterizing the RF environment on-board aircraft
- Research on control over unreliable communication links

It's only a matter of time before we see wireless network based critical systems.

Autonomous Navigation



Autonomous Navigation

- Honeywell, as a leader in navigation technologies is pursuing business in the area of autonomous navigation
- Potential markets include
 - Military
 - Mining
 - Mowing
 - ...
- We are currently working with a major manufacturer of mowers and a university to develop an autonomous mower
 - Golf courses: fairway mowing

IFIP-WG10.4

Autonomous Mowing of Fairways

- Less challenging than many of the autonomous systems we heard about this week
 - Relatively benign terrain
 - Well known terrain
 - Good topological maps
 - Possible to install navigation infrastructure
 - GPS/RTK
 - Possible to install communication infrastructure
 - Mower to operator station



IFIP-WG10.4

Honeywell

Challenges

- Safety!
 - Golfers, fauna
- Precision Navigation
 - Parallel cuts, signature cuts
 - Claim: 5cm position accuracy
- Sensing
 - Obstacles
 - Small objects, uncontrolled lighting
 - Fairway edges
- Poor mower dynamics
 - Stopping distance ~5m at 2-3 m/s
- Reliable communications with a mobile base station
- User interfaces
 - Barely literate groundskeepers
 - Supervisor in maintenance building is typically computer literate
- Marketability
 - Cost: one time and recurring
 - US export controls prevent use of certain inertial navigation technologies

