Adaptive Application-Aware Runtime Checking

Ravi Iyer, Z. Kalbarczyk, N. Nakka, L. Wang, N. Breems et. al Center for Reliable and High-Performance Computing Coordinated Science Laboratory University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign www.crhc.uiuc.edu/DEPEND

http://www.crhc.uiuc.edu/DEPEND/

The Embedded Environment: Cell Phones



- Modular design of processes lends itself well to small footprint solutions.
- Specialized Applications optimized for memory/performance requirements.
- Specialized/Customized kernels

Crash Latency Stack Injection (Linux on Pentium and PowerPC)



Early detection of kernel stack overflow on PPC major contributor to reduced crash latency

What is Needed?

- A hardware/software framework that adapts dynamically to application needs
- Extracting application properties that can be used as an indicator of correct behavior and to drive synthesis of application-aware checks
- Instantiating the optimal hardware/software for runtime application checking
- Embed the devised checks into the custom hardware or software middleware or operating system

Adaptive Application Aware Checking in Hardware: Basics

- Static source-code analysis and profiling provides
 - Which checkers to be used and at what points of application execution
 - ▲ Checkers are adapted to application
- Hardware modeling using HDL
- Synthesize modules into reconfigurable hardware framework
- Modules themselves are runtime reconfigurable

Adaptive Application Aware Checking in Hardware: Reliability and Security Engine



N. Nakka, J. Xu, Z. Kalbarczyk, R. K. Iyer, "An Architectural Framework for Providing Reliability and Security Support", DSN2004.

The Processor-Level Framework

- Implemented as an integral part of the processor on the same die
- Embeds hardware modules for reliability, security and recovery that execute in parallel with the instruction execution in the main pipeline
- Provides a generic interface to external processor system through which modules access runtime information for checking
- Application interfaces to framework through CHECK instructions
 - Extension of the ISA
 - ▲ Used by the application to invoke specific modules

Detection of Instruction Dependency Violations

- RAW dependency imposes sequential order on execution of instructions
- Errors in processor control logic, binary of instruction can lead to a violation
- Sequence Checker Module (SCM), detects such violations
 - ▲ monitors issue and execute events in pipeline
- Representative instruction sequences extracted using static analysis
- CHECKs used to dynamically reconfigure the module with sequences

SCM Detection Mechanism



- SCM state for sequence (*i*, *e*)
 - ▲ *i* : instruction on which event is awaited
 - ▲ e: event (issue/execute)
- Property at any instance of time, at most one instruction of a dependent sequence can be issued or executed
- Instructions in issue and execute queues matched against instructions of sequence
- at most one instruction from the queue should match the correct state
- Error Detected when there is :
 - ▲ more than one match
 - a match other than expected state

SCM Reconfiguration Architecture

Achieved with help of CHECK instructions

- Extracted sequences loaded as part of program image
- At runtime SCM loads sequences into set of registers
- Each sequence has additional registers
 - ▲ length, state



Process Crash/Hang Detection (1)



- Infinite Loop Hang Detection (ILHD) by tracking loop entry and exit points
- Sequential Code Hang Detection (SCHD) detects illegal repetition of sequence of instructions
- Instruction Count Heartbeat (ICH) leverages processor performance registers to detect process/OS crashes/hangs

Process/Crash Hang Detection (2)

- Process hang in legal loops
 - ▲ Infinite loop Hang Detector (ILHD)
 - ▲ Profile-based analysis of application to estimate loop execution time
 - Module reconfigured with timeout for loop as it is entered CHECK Loop Entry and Loop Exit
- Process hang in illegal loops
 - ▲ Sequential code hang detector (SCHD)
 - ▲ Parameterize module with length of loop
 - ▲ Any loop shorter than given length indicates control error

Process Crash/Hang Detection

Crash detection

- ▲ Instruction Count Heartbeat (ICH)
- ▲ Uses processor performance counters to detect process and OS crashes
- Can be extended to support failure detection in distributed systems



Adaptive Application Aware Checking in Software: Runtime Executive (RTE) – Middleware

- Reconfigurable statically and dynamically to provide range of customizable error checks to operating system and applications, e.g.,
 - Heartbeats (i) adaptive the timeout value adapts to changes in the network traffic or node load and (ii) smart - the monitored entity excites a set of checks before sending the heartbeat).
 - Data-Flow Signatures a pattern of reads and writes to variables in a code block (program object, thread, function, basic block or instruction)
- Self-checking (self-healing)
- Example reconfigurable ARMOR architecture
 - K. Whisnant, Z. Kalbarczyk, R. Iyer, "A System Model For Dynamically Reconfigurable Software," IBM Systems Journal, Special Issue on Autonomic Computing, March 2003

Runtime Executive (RTE): ARMOR Approach

- Adaptive Reconfigurable Mobile Objects of Reliability:
 - Multithreaded processes composed of replaceable building blocks called elements
 - Elements provide error detection and recovery services to user applications or operating system.
- Hierarchy of ARMOR processes form runtime environment:
 - ▲ ARMOR runtime environment is itself self checking

ARMOR properties

- ▲ designed to be reconfigurable
- ▲ resilient to errors by leveraging multiple detection and recovery mechanisms
- ▲ internal self-checking mechanisms to prevent failures from occurring and to limit error propagation.
- ▲ state protected through checkpointing.

Runtime Executive (RTE): ARMOR Approach "Total Solution"



Runtime Executive (RTE): ARMOR Approach "Embedded Solution"



- Modular design of processes lends itself well to small footprint solutions.
- Special elements optimized for memory/performance requirements.
- Specialized microkernel:
 - Remove support for inter-ARMOR communication through regular messaging
 - Static configuration of elements; no need to dynamically change elements

Support for Adaptation of Error Detection Across System Hierarchy

Application Support

Robust (self-checking) middleware Runtime executive for fault/error management

Compiler Support

Application and/or OS instrumentation to customize and invoke FT mechanisms

Operating System Support

Kernel health monitoring, Application transparent checkpointing • Hardware –

 a common processorlevel framework exploiting features (e.g., debug and performance registers) of current processors

Software

 robust, self-checking runtime executive for fault management

Hardware Support

Reliability and security engine Application-aware checks Control logic protection